

# The First Periodic Table for Elementary Particles

**RICHARD LIGHTHOUSE**

The First Periodic Table for Elementary Particles

Richard Lighthouse

1024 Elementary Particles

Published by Richard Lighthouse

Creative Commons 4.0 License, 2019 by Richard Lighthouse.

Please acknowledge source.



ISBN: 9781310993619

[www.smashwords.com/books/view/461826](http://www.smashwords.com/books/view/461826)

RLighthouse.com

Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty: While the author has used his best efforts in preparing this document, he makes no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents and specifically disclaims any implied warranties or fitness for a particular purpose. Previously published as “Elementary Particles: Estimates for Mass Groups 9 & 10”

29 May 2014 - original  
Revision 4a – 10 April 2019  
Houston, Texas, U.S.A.

The First Periodic Table for Elementary Particles

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)


[References](#)

### Abstract

This short technical paper presents a new standard model for Elementary Particles. All elementary particle masses are related by simple math. This math is similar to the math used for wifi signals and it is called 1024-QAM. The 1024-QAM table graphically displays how all elementary particles are related, similar to the Standard Periodic Table in chemistry. If we line up all of the particle masses in order, we find there are a number of “gaps.” These are called the mass gaps, and they line up perfectly with 1024-QAM, which fits the sequence. Supersymmetry (SUSY) is also found to occur with 1024-QAM. Mass Groups 1 thru 8 have heavyweight counterparts which are found in Mass Groups 9 thru 16. 4 new particles are predicted to be discovered between 0.7 to 15 TeV. Also, 4 new particles are predicted to be discovered between 30 to 200 TeV. Numerous

other new particles are predicted using 1024-QAM. The only possible explanation for elementary particles to match a QAM pattern, is due to a blinking or discrete universe. This ebook provides compelling evidence that our universe is literally blinking, off and on. This blinking frequency is about 1.1 THz.

This author challenges scientists in the particle physics field to provide a better model than 1024-QAM, that will fit the “mass gaps.” I suggest starting with a QAM model and see what format you think will best fit the experimental data that is already available. In my opinion, the data to support 1024-QAM is already available at CERN, Berkeley, Fermi Lab, Brookhaven, Perimeter Institute, and Stanford. No expensive, new experiment will need to be run.



**Figure U-1.**

C = Collapsing Neutral Point

E = Expanding Neutral Point

Figure U-1. Cycle of our blinking universe.

## 1. Introduction

The math for the QAM table is simple and elegant. No previous particle model has been able to explain the mass gaps. The QAM model beautifully explains the mass gaps.

QAM stands for Quadrature Amplitude Modulation. Digital-QAM is a data transmission method that can be used to broadcast television pictures or WiFi signals, and many other applications. For digital applications involving computers, its use seems obvious. However, for applications involving physical reality – this may seem confusing, until it is understood that our universe is literally blinking off and on.

QAM in dynamic motion can be seen here:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quadrature\\_amplitude\\_modulation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Quadrature_amplitude_modulation)




Figure 1. 16-QAM. Note the “Gaps” at 0%, 50%, and 100%. The nodes exist at 25% and 75% amplitude.

It is recommended that readers review reference [1], as the following discussion will make more sense.

## Mass

Value (64 possible masses)

Data	00	01	10	11
Data	00	01	10	11
Data	00	01	10	11

## Charge

Value -1 -1/3 0 2/3

Data	00	01	10	11
------	----	----	----	----

## Spin

Value 0 1/2 1 LF\*

Data	00	01	10	11
------	----	----	----	----

Figure 2. Sample table in QAM demonstrating how each data point contains the particle values in a digital format. \*Note that the 4<sup>th</sup> spin type is explained in another ebook - "Elementary Particles: The 4<sup>th</sup> Spin"




Figure 3. 1024-QAM. 4 Quadrants with 16 Mass Groups and 16 probabilities for a total of 1024 points. Note that each quadrant contains 256 points and has 16 Mass Groups and 16 probabilities. The 16 probabilities are the vertical column (red) of points from the blue baseline. There are  $4 \times 4 \times 4$  possible mass types for a total of 64. There are 4 possible charge types and 4 possible spin types.




Figure 4. This is how the center of the 1024-QAM table might look like. Note the sequence of red numbers – this is the basic pattern for QAM. It is apparent that the first 4 particle masses are Bosons. There may be some minor changes in the order of leptons and quarks, but the pattern is basically predictable. In our search for particles, it is clear we have skipped over some lightweight particle masses, or we may need to target our future searches for specific masses. Bosons are orange, Leptons are green, and quarks are purple.

My initial thoughts looking at this table are: I can't believe that we missed all of these lightweight particles! How can this be correct? However, I ask you to consider – how many chemicals were missed and skipped over when the Chemical Periodic Table was being assembled in the 1800's and early 1900's? Anyone that knows this history can confirm – many of the elements were rare in nature and had not been identified. The difference is; this time we are working with a mathematical pattern, not just experimental evidence.

## 2. 1024-QAM Format




Figure 5. This is the 10-bit format for 1024-QAM. Each position has 4 possible data values: 00, 01, 10, and 11. This equals a total of 1024 possible particles. Our universe is literally constructed with a mathematical pattern.

Periodic Table for Elementary Particles																	
by Mass Groups																	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
IV	Foron	Clara	Stanford	M Neutrino	T Neutrino	Tetra	Botton	Top	sForon	sClara	sStanford	sM Neutrino	sT Neutrino	sTetra	sBotton	Grand	
III	E Neutrino	Rae	Tamu	Rob	Down	Nu	Upsilon	Higgs	sE Neutrino	sRae	sTamu	sRob	sDown	sNu	sUpsilon	Higgsino	
III	Gluon	Bev	Lee	Jane	Up	Muon	Tau	Z	Gluiino	sBev	sLee	sJane	sUp	sMuon	sTau	Zino	
I	Photon	Ash	Vic	Seth	Electron	Strange	Charm	W	Photino	sAsh	sVic	sSeth	sElectron	sStrange	sCharm	Wino	
*c*	1eV	100eV	1KeV	100KeV	1MeV	100MeV	1GeV	100GeV	1TeV	100TeV	1PeV	100PeV	1FeV	100FeV	1ZeV	100ZeV	
10*	0	2	3	5	6	8	9	11	12	14	15	17	18	20	21	23	
	Copyright © 2019, by Richard Lighthouse, LLC Version 6.0, 9 April 2019									Boson 8		Lepton 24		Quark 24		Quatern 8	

Figure 6. Periodic Table for Elementary Particles showing all 16 mass groups. This is the new standard model. Note how the particles appear in groups of four. This is typical of a QAM or wifi signal. They are arranged by mass groups in a natural pattern. This is the simple math that is used for wifi signals and it also relates all elementary particles. It provides compelling evidence that our universe is literally blinking, off and on, at a high frequency. Note that the pattern of quarks and leptons can be “filled-in” from the previously available known data. Although it may need some minor corrections from what is shown in this table, the pattern is basically predictable. Zoom in to see clearly.

Note that we are aware of 3 particle types: Lepton, Quark, and Boson. In a pattern of 4's (QAM) – there must be a 4<sup>th</sup> particle type. I call the 4<sup>th</sup> particle type, “Quaterns.” They are the heavyweight counterparts for the Boson, and have a similar purpose within the particle field. When we discover the photino soon, it will be a Quatern. This is not simply a new particle, it is a new type of particle.

	Mass Group 9			Mass Group 10	
	9	Est. Range		10	Est. Range
IV	Sforon	5 – 9 TeV		Sclara	120-190 TeV
III	Selectron Sneutrino	3 – 7 TeV		Srae	80-140 TeV
II	Gluino	2 – 6 TeV		Sbev	60-100 TeV
I	Photino	1 – 4 TeV		Sash	50-90 TeV
*c <sup>2</sup>	1Tev			100TeV	
10 <sup>x</sup>	12			14	

Figure 7. Mass Groups 9 & 10 shown with estimated mass values. These mass ranges are rough estimates, intended for experimental planning purposes. Revised: Photino may be in the 0.5 – 4 TeV range. Sash may be in the 30 – 90 TeV range.

### 3. Dark Matter

As previously noted in another paper, the Quatern particle is the heavyweight counterpart for the Boson. Its function is similar to the Boson.

The table information suggests that Dark Matter is comprised of the heavyweight particles, which means that half of the particles in our physical universe are dark matter. These particles are significantly higher in mass value, but generally have shorter lifespans. Once we are able to determine the electromagnetic frequency range for photinos – we should be able “see” this dark matter.

### 4. Further Research

This preliminary model needs further research. The readers input and suggestions are requested. Readers are encouraged to review the work of Theodore Lach (Reference 8). His equation:

$$\ln(0.511/1777.1) = -3e$$

strongly suggests that particle masses are predictable. Note these values are known particle masses.

## 5. Conclusions

Mass Gaps, charge, spin and amplitude are readily identified and arranged by a Digital-QAM table.

Other conclusions:

- 1) There are numerous particles that can be identified and discovered by using the QAM digital table.
- 2) There must be a mathematical equation associating the mass values in a natural pattern. The precise equation(s) would be very helpful if known, and it appears that Theodore Lach may have found the basic relationships.
- 3) Prediction: 4 new particles will be discovered between 0.7 to 15 TeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- 4) Prediction: 4 new particles will be discovered between 35 to 200 TeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- 5) Prediction: 4 new particles will be discovered between 0.5 to 30 PeV/c<sup>2</sup>.

Readers are encouraged to read the associated technical papers at [smashwords.com](http://smashwords.com), [lulu.com](http://lulu.com), [amazon](http://amazon.com), [barnandnoble](http://barnandnoble.com), [kobo.com](http://kobo.com), and [apple ibooks](http://apple.com).

This is a living document. The author reserves the right to make corrections and changes.

## 10. References

1. Richard Lighthouse, Mathematical Solution Unifying the Four Fundamental Forces in Nature, Smashwords.com; 2013. [www.smashwords.com/books/view/374500](http://www.smashwords.com/books/view/374500)
2. Richard Lighthouse, The First Periodic Table for Elementary Particles; Smashwords.com;

2014. [www.smashwords.com/books/view/461826](http://www.smashwords.com/books/view/461826)

3. Richard Lighthouse, The Discovery of Parallel Universes, smashwords.com; 2013.

[www.smashwords.com/books/view/376593](http://www.smashwords.com/books/view/376593)

4. Richard Lighthouse, Identification of Elementary Particles in the Mass Groups, smashwords.com; 2014. [www.smashwords.com/books/view/445062](http://www.smashwords.com/books/view/445062)

5. Richard Lighthouse, Anomalous Magnetic Moment: Source and Explanation, smashwords.com; 2014. [www.smashwords.com/books/view/447537](http://www.smashwords.com/books/view/447537)

6. Richard Lighthouse, Elementary Particles: The 4<sup>th</sup> Spin Type, smashwords.com; 2014. [www.smashwords.com/books/view/449983](http://www.smashwords.com/books/view/449983)

7. Seth (Jane Roberts) Early Sessions, Book 2, Session 60, 1964. "Matter is continually created, but no particular physical object is in itself continuous... No particular physical particle exists for any amount of time. It exists and disappears, and is instantaneously replaced by another."

8. Theodore Lach, "Masses of the Sub-Nuclear Particles;" arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0008026; submitted 14 August 2000.

9. Eric R. Scerri, "Master of Missing Elements," American Scientist, September 2014, pg 358. Interesting historical note: Henry Moseley's discoveries in the early 1900s, corrected the chemical periodic table and showed that, at least 3, chemical elements were missing from the table (atomic numbers 43, 61, and 75).

### Acknowledgments

Acknowledgments: The author gratefully acknowledges Seth, Jane Roberts, and Rob Butts for their significant contributions.

About: The author holds a Master of Science (M.Sc.) degree in Mechanical Engineering from Stanford University.

Contact:

RLighthouse – at – protonmail.com

RLighthouse.com

Funding:

This research was generously supported with a grant from the Foundation Opposed to Academic Puffery (FOAP).

## APPENDIX

Sample Calculations using approximate mathematical patterns:

Photino Particle

$$1.275/.511 = 2.495$$

$$2.495 \times 1.275 = 3.2 \text{ TeV}$$

Gluino Particle

$$1.777/1.275 = 1.394$$

$$1.394 \times 3.2 = 4.5 \text{ TeV}$$

== ==

Sash Particle

$$80.4/95 = .846$$

$$.846 \times 80.4 = 68 \text{ TeV}$$

Sbev Particle

$$91.2/80.4 = 1.134$$

$$1.134 \times 68 = 77 \text{ Tev}$$


etc...by mass ratios

These calculations are not predictions, they are merely rough estimates. It is understood these calculations are based on mathematical patterns.

== ==

-

Appendix B.



FFigure A4. When converting this document to pdf, it is apparent that transparent objects were previously hidden in the document. These objects are likely being used by the government for tracking the distribution of my ebooks. This was not done by the author.